IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CIVIL APPELLATE SIDE

WRIT PETITION NO.2001 of 2004

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, appearances, Court's Court's or Judge's orders orders or directions and Registrar's orders.

None present for Petitioner

Mr. Vineet B. Naik, for Respondent No.4.

Mr.C.R.Sonawane,A.G.P.for Respondent No.1

CORAM : F.I. REBELLO & S.P. KUKDAY,JJ.
DATED : 4th February, 2005
P.C.

. The Planning Authority had recommended to Respondent No.1 to dereserve a part of the land owned by the petitioner. The Respondent No.1 appointed Respondent No.2 to exercise the powers under Section 162(1) of the M.R.T.P. Act. The same was notified. The petitioner herein suggested that instead of deserving only 0.37 Hector which was half of the land as proposed by Respondent No.4 the entire land should be dereserved. The Respondent No.2, it appears, had rejected the recommendation both of Respondent NO.4 and the suggestion of the petitioner herein and has maintained the reservation of the plot. This is the subject matter of the present petition.

2. When the matter is called out

none present for the petitioner. Petition dismissed for non-prosecution.

(F.I. REBELLO, J.)

(S.P. KUKDAY, J.)